Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/751,276 | SCARAMPI ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Isis A. Ghali | 1611 | | |

| | ISIS A. GIIAII | 1011 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>27 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | on. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two months | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | | | e appeal. Since a |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | ΓE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | ** | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | er form for appeal by materially rec | ducing or simplifying ti | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of finally reig | acted claims | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally reju | oted claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21 See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mphane / monamone (| |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | , | | g |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| | /Isis A Ghali/ | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | Init 1611 | |
| | s. j Examinor, ruc o | | |

Claims 1-5 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CA 2,001,688 ('688) in view of US 7,074,392 ('392).

The main gist of Applicant argument is that CA '688 and US '392 do not teach gilsonite oil and gilsonite oil is different from gilsonite and have different properties.

In response to this argument, it is argued that gilsonite is asphalt, as evident by "Wikipedia" obtained from distillation of natural crude oil. Hence, gilsonite is an oil, or at least fraction of oil, and in view of the broad unspecified disclosure, the gilsonite disclosed by the reference is gilsonite oil, and gilsonite itself inherently contains gilsonite oil. In page 9, lines 20-24 of the present specification, applicant disclosed that there are more than one Gilsonite oil having different properties, and according to the formulation the oil is selected. Therefore, the gilsonite oil as claimed is not specified oil of gilsonite and the oils present in the gilsonite disclosed by the reference read on the claimed gilsonite oil.